Tool 7: CRT reflection tool (process evaluation)

|  |
| --- |
| **Questions**  |
| **Scale-up**  |
| 1. What is going well during the scale-up of the MSI? Why?
 |
| 1. What is going not so well during the scale-up of the MSI? Why?
 |
| 1. Are all the necessary stakeholders convinced of the value of MSI by the available evidence? Why or why not?
* Who are these key stakeholders?
* What is their interest in the MSI?
 |
| 1. Are the DHMTs well capacitated on the MSI approach? Why or why not?
 |
| 1. Is a contextual appropriate scale-up strategy used for the scale-up of the MSI? Why or why not?
* Reflections about the development of the scale-up strategy
* Reflections about the content of the scale-up strategy
* Reflections about the governance structure (NSSG/RT) – was/is this suitable for the specific country context?
 |
| 1. Are the necessary stakeholders involved in scaling-up the MSI working in partnership? Explain and provide examples.
* Who are the necessary stakeholders?
* What are the challenges in working together/getting them involved?
 |
| 1. Are there champions advocating for the scale-up of MSI?
* Who are they? At which level of the system are they advocating?
* How do they advocate?
* What are the results of them advocating?
 |
| 1. Does the RT include the right people with the right capacity to implement the scale-up the MSI? Why or why not?
* Who decides who is in?
* Fit for purpose to implement the horizontal scale-up? Committed/pro-active?
* Barriers/facilitators?
* Relationship between NSSG/RT?
* Sustainability of RT?
 |
| 1. Does the NSSG include the right people with the right capacity to steer the scale-up the MSI? Why or why not?
* Who decides who is in?
* Fit for purpose to steer the scale-up of the MSI? Committed/pro-active?
* Barriers/facilitators?
* Relationship between NSSG/RT?
* Sustainability of NSSG?
 |
| 1. Show the decision makers (at national level) political and financial support to scale-up the MSI? Why or why not?
* Who are the decision makers?
* How does political/ financial support look like?
* Barriers/facilitators?
 |
| 1. Is the monitoring of the scale-up of the MSI used to adjust the scaling-up process? Why or why not?

Provide examples of adjustments done or needed based on monitoring data. |
| **MSI** |
| 1. What went well during the implementation of the MSI?
 |
| 1. Why are these (the above mentioned) things going well?
 |
| 1. What is not going well during the implementation of the MSI?
 |
| 1. Why are these (the above mentioned) things not going well?
 |
| 1. Did you notice any differences in the MSI implementation when the RT implemented the MSI compared to when the CRT did this/supported? (only relevant for Ghana and Malawi).
 |
| 1. What do you think of the feasibility of continuing the MSI approach post project/when CRT stops supporting the process?
 |
| 1. How could the implementation of MSI be improved?
* Adaptations necessary to facilitate vertical scale-up?
* Adaptation necessary to ensure sustainability of the MSI?
 |
| 1. How has the implementation of MSI contributed to more attention of the DHMTs to equity and gender? How could this be improved?
 |
| **Role of CRT** |
| 1. How has the CRT influenced the implementation of the MSI and the scale-up? (as CRTs are wearing multiple hats)
 |
| 1. What are the challenges that the CRT has faced in facilitating the MSI and scaling it up? How were they or should they be overcome?
 |
| 1. How has the CRT influenced the research process regarding the MSI and scale-up of the MSI? (as CRTs are wearing multiple hats)
 |
| 1. How have you as an individual influenced the implementation of the MSI and the scale-up (e.g. connections, relations etc.)?
 |